

Algebraic Solution for Quadratic and Tikhonov-Regularized Forms

Sean Borman

July 18 2004

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Differentiable Scalar Functions

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a scalar function of the vector $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^\top$. The gradient vector is the vector of partial derivatives,

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

1.2 The Gradient of a Scalar Product

Let $f = \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x}$ where \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{x} are n -vectors, then $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{a}$. This is seen by expanding the inner product $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{x}$ as $f(\mathbf{x}) = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n$, and then differentiating to find $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]^\top = \mathbf{a}$.

Let $f = \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ with m -vector \mathbf{y} and $m \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , then $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y}$. This may be seen by noting that $\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{A}$ is an n -vector and applying the result for the scalar product above.

1.3 The Gradient of a Quadratic Form

If $f = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ with n -vector \mathbf{x} and real-symmetric $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} , then $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$. We can show this by writing f in terms of the on-diagonal and off-diagonal products,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i a_{ij} x_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j, \end{aligned}$$

then differentiating f with respect to x_k for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_k} &= 2a_{kk}x_k + 2 \sum_{i \neq k} a_{ki}x_i \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ki}x_i, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}.$$

2 Minimization of Quadratic Forms

Here we consider the problem of finding $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ which minimizes the objective function with quadratic form,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ax}\|^2,$$

with $m \times n$ real matrix \mathbf{A} . This may be rewritten as,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ax})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ax}) \\ &= (\mathbf{y}^\top - \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top) \cdot (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ax}) \\ &= \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Ax} \\ &= \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Ax}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}$ is real-symmetric and differentiating the objective function with respect to \mathbf{x} yields the gradient vector,

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = -2\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y} + 2\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Ax}$$

A necessary requirement for $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ to be a minimum of $f(\mathbf{x})$ is that $\nabla f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = 0$. In this case we have that,

$$\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y}$$

and assuming that $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}$ is invertible,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y}.$$

The expression $(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top$ is known variously as the generalized inverse, pseudoinverse, or Moore-Penrose inverse of \mathbf{A} .

3 Optimization for Tikhonov Regularized Problems

In Tikhonov regularized solutions to inverse problems we are faced with the problem of finding $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ which minimizes the objective function containing a quadratic form and regularizing functional,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ax}\|^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|^2,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is the regularization parameter. This may be rewritten as,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ax})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ax}) + \lambda \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ &= (\mathbf{y}^\top - \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top) (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ax}) + \lambda \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Ax} + \lambda \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Ax} + \lambda \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A}$ is real-symmetric and differentiating the objective function with respect to \mathbf{x} yields the gradient vector,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) &= -2\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y} + 2\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{Ax} + 2\lambda \mathbf{x} \\ &= 2\mathbf{A}^\top (\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{y}) + 2\lambda \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

A necessary requirement for $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ to be a minimum of $f(\mathbf{x})$ is that $\nabla f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = 0$. In this case we have that,

$$(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} + \lambda \mathbf{I}) \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y}$$

and assuming that $\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} + \lambda \mathbf{I}$ is invertible,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{y}.$$